

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FÌRST NAMED	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/213,858	12/16/98	MORGAN		S	AT9	-98-344	
<u>г</u>			-	EXAMINER			
' WM02/0328 ' RICHARD A HENKLER					ARMSTRONG, A		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP			ART UI	NIT	PAPER NUMBER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT INTERNAL ZIP 4054 11400 BURNET ROAD						5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/28/01

AUSTIN TX 78758

		L Application No.	L Anglicant(a)					
	•	Application No. 09/213,858	Applicant(s) MORGAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary							
	•	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Angela A. Armstrong	2641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	lanuary 2001 .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 113 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment	t(s)							
	15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

Application/Control Number: 09/213,858

Art Unit: 2641

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 09/213,856. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims includes the limitations of predetermining a plurality of speech commands associated with a corresponding plurality of system actions, detecting speech commands and words associated with speech commands, displaying speech commands, performing the corresponding system action if a particular command is selected. Application No. 09/213,856 does not teach detecting speech queries for locating commands or locating commands applicable to the query. Refer to Gould et al. (US Patent No. 6,088,671) who teach a computer system having speech recognition functionality for continuous recognition of text and commands which upon recognizing a phrase ("What can I say"), displays the recognized phrase and provides a list of voice commands available for the application being executed (col. 8, lines 3-16).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/213,858

Art Unit: 2641

- 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to modify the speech recognition system of Application No. 09/213,856 to recognize speech queries and display a list of voice commands applicable to the speech query, for the purpose of providing visual notification of the available voice commands for a particular application being executed, as taught by Gould et al.
- 4. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gould et al. (US Patent No. 6,088,671).
- 7. Regarding claims 1, 6, and 11,

Predetermining a plurality of speech commands each associated with a corresponding plurality of system actions is taught by Gould et al. at col. 4, lines 26-67; col. 5, lines 1-2;

Carrying out the system action corresponding to the command is taught by Gould et al. at col. 4, lines 26-67; col. 5, lines 1-2;

Application/Control Number: 09/213,858

Art Unit: 2641

Concurrently detecting speech commands and speech queries is taught by Gould et al. at col. 5, lines 3-16;

Attempting to locate commands applicable to said query is taught by Gould et al. at col. 5, lines 3-16

8. Regarding claims 2, 7, and 12

Displaying detected speech query is taught by Gould et al. at col. 5, lines 3-16; Figures 5, 6, 8A, 8B, 9A, 9B;

Application/Control Number: 09/213,858

Art Unit: 2641

9. Regarding claims 3, 8, and 13

Displaying located commands is taught at col. 5, lines 3-16; Figures 5 & 6;

10. Regarding claims 4, 9, and 14

User may speak a displayed located command to activate said means for carrying out a system action is taught by Gould et al. at col. 5, lines 3-16.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 703-305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6306 for regular communications and 703-308-6296 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

AAA

March 22, 2001

Richemond Dorvil Primary Examiner Page 5